

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2004 LB 304, 614

tens of thousands of dollars are going to be attributed to the cost of this, but when it's...and when it's the other way, no fiscal impact? I don't know exactly how fiscal notes are generated, but there's something that doesn't seem right with this one. And if you want copies of the fiscal notes from LB 614 and LB 304 to see what I'm talking about, they're there. But it is funny that when it's affording defendants protections, there is huge fiscal impact; other than that, there isn't. I want to go on and mention some of the things that I, again, see as wrong with the green copy of the bill. And again, I have not had a chance to read the surprise amendment. But again, I want to articulate to you that I have no agenda against the police officers. It sounds like Senator Chambers does, no offense to him. But my agenda, again, is to make good legislation in the statutes. There's some problems in Section 5, subsection (1), where it talks about, "All other reasonable investigative means have been exhausted." What is that? What is a reasonable investigative means? I have no idea.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: There's another...oh, let me go to the next page. This one is really interesting. The person being interrogated has the right to know who's going to do the interrogating. And then it says that they can go on--I'll get you the section number--it says that the...they have the right...they have to be given notice, the individual being interrogated has the right to know who's going to interrogate them. Well, my question is, I assume interrogators come and go as the interrogation proceeds. Well, if a different interrogator comes along...what if one becomes ill, and a new interrogator comes along? Does that mean the interrogation ceases at that time? And if it does, then do they start over and have to give another 24-hour notice before the interrogation? And again, I'm just trying to show you that there are problems with this bill. And I do kind of object to the process here. And this is no fault of Senator Synowiecki. I think the only real accurate thing that Senator Chambers might have said this morning is that Senator Synowiecki has been duped. He's a very nice individual, he's always trying to get along, I enjoy his company, he's a great legislator as far as